How to Choose the Right Investment Advisor

Finding a reliable investment advisor (IA) can be challenging. While many advisors truly want to help, others have hidden conflicts of interest that may not be clearly disclosed. Protecting yourself requires asking the right questions, reading disclosures carefully, and understanding how advisors really get paid.

Below are key points, questions, and red flags you should know before hiring an advisor.

First Things First: Verify the Advisor

- Use the **Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD)** search: https://adviserinfo.sec.gov
- Check the advisor's background, license status, disciplinary history, and their **Form ADV**, including brochure disclosures, the mandatory disclosure document updated annually with fees and potential conflicts.

Questions You Should Ask an Investment Advisor

- Are you a **fiduciary** at all times, legally required to act in my best interest?
- How are you compensated, and are there hidden fees or commissions?
- How will you create or adjust my investment portfolio for my **age and risk tolerance**? Who will make the portfolio decisions?
- Do you have experience working with **seniors** and protecting them from scams or exploitation?
- How often will you meet with me to review my plan?
- What **benchmark** do you use to measure success?
- Can you assist with **estate planning, taxes, and beneficiary designations**?
- May I designate a **trusted contact** in case of elder abuse concerns or cognitive decline?

Questions the Advisor May Ask You

- What are your **financial goals** (income, legacy, giving, etc.)?
- What assets, liabilities, and income do you have?

- What health issues or long-term care needs might affect your finances?
- Who are your designated beneficiaries and heirs?
- What is your **risk tolerance**, on a scale from 1–10?
- What is your knowledge of stocks, bonds, annuities, or other investments?
- Who else helps you make financial decisions?
- What worries you most about your finances?

Common Conflicts of Interest to Watch For

- **Compensation Conflicts**: advisors earning commissions, asset-based fees, or hidden payments.
- **Proprietary Products**: recommending in-house mutual funds or affiliate products that earn them more.
- **Dual Roles**: acting as both broker and advisor, pushing trades that benefit the firm.
- **Sub-Accounts and Sub-Advisers**: hidden layers of fees or referral rebates.
- **Insurance Products**: high-commission annuities or insurance policies sold when cheaper alternatives exist.
- **Reciprocal Business Deals**: relationships with third parties influencing recommendations.
- **Trade Allocation Issues**: certain clients favored over others in investment opportunities.
- **Soft-Dollar Arrangements**: research perks paid for by your commissions.

Practical Ways to Protect Your Assets

- **Get everything in writing** — fees, fiduciary status, any product sales.
- **Work with a fee-only advisor** — they charge for advice, not product sales.
- **Use independent custodians** like Vanguard, Fidelity, or Schwab to hold your assets.
- **Read all documents before signing** — take them home and review carefully.
- **Ask direct questions about fiduciary duty** — and walk away if the answer is vague.

Comparing Fee-Only to Fee-Based and Commission Models

Choosing a fee-only investment advisor means prioritizing transparency, objectivity, and fiduciary responsibility, but this route also has trade-offs compared to commission-based or hybrid advisors. Many investors consider splitting their portfolio to minimize fees, but this tactic has practical and ethical implications that can affect the advisor-client relationship.

Fee-Only Advisor: Main Benefits

- **Objectivity and Transparency:** Fee-only advisors are paid directly by clients, not through commissions from product sales, making their advice generally more unbiased.
- **Fiduciary Duty:** Most fee-only advisors are fiduciaries and legally required to act in the client's best interest, reducing conflicts of interest.
- **Clear Pricing:** Fees—typically 1% of assets under management (AUM), hourly, or flat—are straightforward, so clients can track costs easily**.**

Drawbacks of Fee-Only Model

- **Higher Upfront Costs:** Fee-only services usually carry higher direct fees than commission-based ones, particularly for smaller portfolios or low-transaction accounts.
- **Limited Product Access:** These advisors may not sell proprietary or insurance products, potentially requiring clients to coordinate with third parties for some needs.
- **No Guaranteed Excellence:** Paying only fees doesn't ensure superior advice—a client must review credentials and experience carefully**.**
- **Possible Scope Limits:** Some states restrict fee-only advisors from certain insurance consultations or product reviews.

Splitting Your Portfolio: "Mirroring" Strategy

- Some clients consider handing only part of their portfolio to an advisor, mirroring transactions themselves for the rest, hoping to save on fee costs, especially the typical 1% AUM charge.
- **Potential Issues:**
    - Most advisors will ask about total investable assets for risk assessment, tax planning, and suitability regulations.

- If mirroring is uncovered, advisors may view it as a lack of trust or unwillingness to fully engage, which could strain relationships or prompt the advisor to decline working with such clients.
- The advisor's fiduciary or regulatory obligations may require a holistic view of your finances to provide compliant advice; splitting information can limit their effectiveness.

A Real Case Example

I served as the Chairman of an arbitration panel hearing a case against an investment advisor. The high-school educated claimant sold his family business for $25 million sought "conservative" advice and was promised a simple 1% annual fee. In reality, he was placed in sub-accounts with hidden fees and speculative investments. Some of these carried warnings that the entire investment could be lost. The advisor secretly received rebates, and true costs only came out once the arbitration panel requested a full accounting.

Lesson: Do not rely on verbal promises. Always document fees and risks in writing before investing.

The Investment Advisory Game

"Who should you trust for investment advice? ... Surely they'd be investing their own money in their ideas ... and ideally their wealth would derive more from their own personal investing returns [rather] than from selling their ideas to people like you." [Bloomberg - Money Stuff, 5/22/19, "Who should you trust for investment advice?"] "Most people on Wall Street don't make money by investing—they make money by helping others invest, charging a hefty fee for their service. Warren Buffett called these people the 'helpers,' and warned investors to avoid them at all costs. The reason: very few people have the skill to beat the market. … The helpers, of course, are well aware of this. So, in order to try to be one of the chosen few who beat the market, they take more risk, swinging for more home runs instead of more reliable singles and doubles. That's what can lead to big losses…. The lesson: Stay away from money managers who charge hefty fees for complex strategies." [NextBigIdeaClub, 6/12/23, "Chaos Kings: How Wall Street Traders Make Billions in the New Age of Crisis"] "[W]hile many people believe that the essential skill of being a hedge fund manager is picking good investments, in fact the essential skill is continuing to run a large hedge fund that pays them a lot of money. ... Building a robust institution with high fees, loyal investors and long lockups is a deeper and more fundamental skill than picking the right stocks." [Bloomberg - Money Stuff, 7/2/20, "Farewell John Paulson"] "[A]s funds get bigger, their income from management fees, which is based on the amount of assets they have, grows. That gives managers fewer incentives to improve performance." [Wall Street Journal, 12/8/20, "Some Small Hedge Funds Reap Big Gains in Tough Times...."] "Fund investors… [have a] chronic compulsion to chase hot performance and flee when it goes cold. Such buy-high-and-sell-low behavior tends to flood fund managers with cash at times when stocks have already risen in price—and to force the funds to sell stocks after a decline. The managers can perform only as well as their worst investors allow them to. … If fund managers could stick to only their best ideas, they might do better. But owning just a handful of stocks could create tax and regulatory headaches—and would expose the managers to massive withdrawals (and loss of fees) if returns faltered. So most portfolio managers own too many stocks to focus on their best ideas…. " [Wall Street Journal, 4/14/23, "Want to Beat the Stock Market? … Professional fund managers labor under handicaps that individual investors don't face. …"]

For those who wish to learn more, I recommend *How to Steal A Lot of Money Legally -- Clueless Crooks Go to Jail, Savvy Swindlers Go to Vail* by Edward Siedle. "There is absolutely nothing worse you can do to abuse clients that the guys on Wall Street haven't already done/disclosed/gotten away with -- legally." One is amazed by a system that permits fiduciaries to utilize excessive and unnecessary fees to enrich themselves and their friends at the expense of those who mistakenly think they are protected by honorable people. A better argument for learning investment self-defense, and making informed decisions for yourself, has not been written.

Why do investors often stay loyal to financial advisors with conflicts of interest, even when lower-cost, conflict-free advisors are available?

The answer lies less in rational financial analysis and more in the psychology of decision-making. Behavioral research shows that several well-documented biases and emotional factors drive this loyalty:

- **Status Quo and Familiarity**

Most people favor what is already familiar, even when better options exist. If an advisor has “done well” in the past, clients feel comfortable continuing the relationship. Switching feels uncertain and risky, even when the alternative is more transparent and cost-effective.

- **Cognitive Dissonance**

Admitting a current advisor may be conflicted requires admitting a past mistake. To avoid this discomfort, clients rationalize: “My advisor has been good to me.” This preserves self-image consistency but prevents objective change.

- **Outcome Bias**

Investors judge decisions by results, not by process. If portfolios have grown, they attribute success to the advisor, even if performance could have been higher without conflicts of interest. Positive outcomes mask hidden inefficiencies.

- **Trust and Relationship Value**

Advisors often serve as more than financial guides—they are confidants and trusted figures. That sense of loyalty and personal connection outweighs technical arguments about fees or conflicts.

- **Hidden Costs and Inattentional Blindness**

The price of conflicts can be invisible. Investors rarely know how much compromised recommendations reduce returns over time. In contrast, trust and familiarity are easy to "see," so the invisible costs go unnoticed.

- **Loss Aversion**

Psychologically, people fear losses more than they value gains. The idea of leaving a known advisor creates anxiety about losing results or security. Remaining with the current advisor feels safer than facing the unknown.

Choosing Between Vanguard Funds, Fidelity Investments, Charles Schwab and Independent Money Managers: Key Considerations

Choosing between Vanguard, Fidelity, Schwab, or independent money managers involves weighing advantages across cost, service, and flexibility. Vanguard is often favored for its industry-leading low fees and client-owned structure that aligns its interests closely with investors, making it ideal for cost-conscious, long-term investors seeking straightforward, fiduciary advice. Fidelity offers a vast product selection and advanced digital tools, appealing to investors seeking personalized service and a wide array of active and passive investment options, though often at a higher cost. Schwab strikes a balance with competitive fees, strong technology platforms, and flexible advisory services, catering well to investors who want both low costs and sophisticated trading tools. Independent money managers provide highly customizable and often more personalized portfolio management tailored to unique financial goals but may charge higher fees and create potential conflicts of interest if compensation depends on asset gathering rather than pure fiduciary duty. Ultimately, investors should consider their priorities in fees, service level, product choice, and trust transparency when choosing their financial partner.

Feature	Vanguard	Fidelity	Schwab
Cost	Lowest fees with Admiral Shares	Competitive, varies by product	Low to moderate, competitive ETFs/funds
Advisory Fees	~0.30% for core advisory	~0.30%-0.50% typical	0% (robo) to ~0.50% (human) advisory fees
Investment Options	Focus on proprietary low-cost funds	Wide range active/passive	Wide range active/passive, direct indexing
Technology	Basic digital tools; solid	Robust digital and research tools	Strong digital platforms, trading tools
Fiduciary Standard	Fee-only fiduciary model	Fiduciary depending on service	Fiduciary standard on advisory accounts
Best For	Cost-conscious long-term investors	Investors desiring choice & advice	Investors wanting low cost + tech + flexibility

---

Final Takeaway

- Always **verify credentials**. **Check Qualifications and Experience:** Not all advisors are equally skilled—review their professional history and expertise in areas you require.
- Always **ask the hard questions**.
- Always **work with a fee-only fiduciary**.

- Always **trust but verify** by reviewing Form ADV, including brochure disclosures.

If you take these steps, you'll strengthen your financial self-defense and ensure that any investment advisor you work with is truly working in your best interest.

DISCLAIMER: The information presented on this website was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy and completeness and any opinions based thereon cannot be guaranteed. It is presented for general interest and educational purposes. It should **not** be construed to be: (a) advice; (b) recommendation; or, (c) analysis. Those seeking such advice should consult with their personal advisors. The opinions, findings and/or conclusions expressed herein are not necessarily politically-correct and are subject to change without notice. Past results should not be interpreted as a guaranty of future performance.

In other words, if you think you lost money based on something you read here, don't come crying to me.

Law Offices of
LES GREENBERG
LGreenberg@LGEsquire.com

INVESTMENT LITIGATION/ARBITRATION,
SHADOW COUNSEL AND INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES

9/16/25